

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/755,868	KALEVO, OSSI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624	

All Participants:

(1) AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM.

(2) KEITH R. OBERT (Reg. no. 58, 051).

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C 101 AND 35 U.S.C 112 first paragraph

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 23, 30, 31, 37 and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested to applicant's representative to amend the above claims to overcome 35 U.S.C 101 and 35 U.S.C 112, first and second paragraphs, in order to expedite the application. Applicant's representative declined to amend the above claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Akliu Woldemariam/

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)